

## United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/601,842	06/23/2003	Byong Mok Oh	2894/107	9542	
2101 7590 04/24/2007 BROMBERG & SUNSTEIN LLP			EXAMINER		
125 SUMMER STREET BOSTON, MA 02110-1618			CUNNINGHAM, GREGORY F		
		·	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			2624		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			04/24/2007	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## **Advisory Action** Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/601,842	OH ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Greg F. Cunningham	2624	

	Oreg i . Odiningnam	2024					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 13 February 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	ving replies: (1) an amendment, af- tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in se with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply m	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)				
a) $\square$ The period for reply expires $3$ months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing	ng date of the final reject	ion.				
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 76	06.07(f).						
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	o avoid dismissal of th					
ACTION AND ADMINISTRATION AND AD	huid maina da dha alada af filima a baiaf						
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo	nsideration and/or search (see NC		ecause				
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	• •	educing or simplifying	the issues for				
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.	•				
	04. Con attached Nation of Non-Co	analiant Amandusant	(DTOL 224)				
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1.5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		ompliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
		Almonto Allerd among admin					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).		·	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.							
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>							
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing	a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the	e date of filing a brief,	will not be				
entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to one showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	eal and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(	ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	entry is below or attac	hed.				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	it does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. $\square$ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	as I	7				
13. Other:	<i>y</i>	Marke (	. Bella				
			·				
	G	MATTHEW C. B					

SUPERVISORY PAIENT TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600 Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

Applicants' remarks with respect to claims 1 and 18:

Applicants allegate that there is no motivation to combine Gawronski's method for creating a physical part with Anandan's 3-D to 2-D projective transformations because Gawronski's method would then be unable to create a 3-D physical part. However, Gawronski claims

1. A method for building a data model of a physical part in a data format useful for reproduction of the part, the method comprising the steps of:

scanning a first surface of the physical part with a light measuring device at a first position and orientation of the device relative to the physical part to obtain a first set of 3-D point data which represents geometry of the first surface in a first local coordinate system;

measuring the first position and orientation of the device relative to the physical part to obtain a first set of position data;

generating a first transform based on the first set of position data;

mapping the first set of 3-D point data in a global coordinate system based on the first transform;

scanning a second surface of the physical part with the light measuring device at a second position and orientation different from the first position and orientation of the device relative to the physical part to obtain a second set of 3-D point data which represents geometry of the second surface in a second local coordinate system;

measuring the second position and orientation of the device relative to the physical part to obtain a second set of position data;

generating a second transform based on the second set of position data;

mapping the second set of 3-D point data in the global coordinate system based on the second transform; and

integrating the first and second sets of 3-D point data in the global coordinate system to obtain the data model of the physical part in the data format.

- 14. The method of claim 1 further comprising the step of processing the data model to generate a tangible reproduction of the physical part in two or more dimensions.
- 15. The method of claim 14 wherein the processing step includes the step of generating a two-dimensional graphic reproduction of the physical part.

Also claimed:

- 20. A system for building a data model of a physical part in a data format useful for reproduction of the part, the system comprising:
- a light measuring device for scanning first and second surfaces of a physical part at first and second positions and orientations of the light measuring device relative to the physical part, respectively, to obtain first and second sets of 3-D point data which represent geometry of the first and second surfaces in first and second local coordinate systems, respectively:
- a measuring apparatus for measuring the first and second positions and orientations of the light measuring device relative to the physical part to obtain first and second sets of position data, respectively; and a computer programmed to:

generate first and second transforms based on the first and second sets of position data, respectively;

map the first and second sets of 3-D point data in a global coordinate system based on the first and second transforms, respectively; and

integrate the first and second sets of 3-D point data in the global coordinate system to obtain the data model of the physical part in the data format.

- 33. The system of claim 20 further comprising means for processing the data model to generate a tangible reproduction of the physical part in two or more dimensions.
- 34. The system of claim 33 wherein the means for processing includes means for generating a two-dimensional graphic reproduction of the article.

Therefore Gawronski method and system work on two-dimensional graphics and generate a tangible reproduction of the physical part in two or more dimensions.

With regard to including step "f" of claims 1 and 18: whether or not an alleged requirement exist for one portion of the part to overwrite another portion of the part, it appears inconsequential since Gawronski does generate a tangible reproduction of the physical part in two or more dimensions and generating a two-dimensional graphic reproduction of the article.

Gregory F. Cunningham, Examiner A.U. 2624

9. F. Couning hom 4/17/07